

Date: Tue, 15 Feb 94 04:30:14 PST  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #61  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Tue, 15 Feb 94                      Volume 94 : Issue    61

Today's Topics:

                    Date on 610 form  
                    Exams are Trivial?  
            This is USENET, so even Codeless Techs can post!

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.  
-----

Date: 13 Feb 1994 03:26:48 -0600  
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!swrinde!  
menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@ames.arpa  
Subject: Date on 610 form  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <jay.761119548@coyote>, Jay Hennigan <jay@coyote.rain.org> wrote:  
>I recently submitted my license renewal to FCC on a 610 form dated 1991.  
>Today I got a letter in the mail from "The W5YI Group, Inc." with a  
>November 1993 610 form and a note stating that previous editions of the  
>form will not be accepted.  
>  
>The letter also indicated that I should send the completed form to The  
>W5YI Group along with a \$5 "processing fee" rather than to the FCC.  
>  
>As it is intuitively obvious that The W5YI Group, Inc. will merely open all  
>such renewal requests, remove the money, and remail them to the FCC, it  
>makes little sense to send the form (or the money) to W5YI, Inc.

Surprise, surprise!

--

Radiographers who are able to use a radiographic machine well are great assets to the health care facility in which they are employed.

--Dianne C. DeVos, "Basic Principles of Radiographic Exposure"

-----

Date: 13 Feb 1994 21:52:05 GMT  
From: paperboy.ids.net!anomaly!kd1hz@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Exams are Trivial?  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd) writes:

> \*\*\*\*\*WARNING, I DIDN'T INTEND FOR THIS TO DEGENERATE AS FAR AS IT DID\*\*\*\*\*

Then why are you?

> So what if some idiot who doesn't know how the FCC issues calls thinks  
> you are a no-code? What is wrong with being a no-code anyway? and  
> please don't give me the crap about they are too lazy.

People are all too familiar with the way the FCC issues callsigns.  
And, when you hear a new voice with a N1### call on the air around here,  
odds are 99:1 that its a no-code callsign.

I didn't state that this was good or bad. I simply stated the way it  
is around here. As Ross says: Its just that simple.

> If it isn't a problem because of the afore mentioned it seems the only  
> thing you object to in regards to these people is the fact that they are  
> different than you. Well that is right up there with homophobia,  
> xenophobia and racism in my book.

Huh? Methinks your synaptic activity needs to be examined. I've never  
condemned all no-code license holders, in fact, I've stated numerous times  
over again that, although the way the no-code license category was  
implemented it has resulted in an avalanche of CB transplants on VHF  
in this area, I know many good hams who hold 'no code' technician-class  
licenses. Of course, we don't call them no-codes or no-clues, we  
reserve that degrading term for the 10-4 good buddy types which swarm  
to the local 2 meter repeaters in increasing numbers every day. People  
don't have to like everything in the world, despite the attempt by  
political correctness to prevent people from "offending" one another.

A person's dislike of homosexuals no more makes him/her a homophobe than my dislike of green beans makes me a veggiephobe.

> No wonder you think CC&R's are an  
> acceptable way to limit people freedoms.

Hello? McFly? Synaptic deterioration alert! Want to quote a message I've posted where I've stated that I like CC&Rs, as you imply? My position has always been that, like it or lump it, CC&Rs are legal, contractually binding terms. If you don't like them, vote with your wallet. That doesn't mean I like them.

> I'll bet you were really  
> disappointed when they told you that a black, Jewish or Mexican family  
> would be living in your neighborhood.

Talk about getting ridiculous. No, the local lynch-mobs take care of that for us.

> You are simply a bigot,

Care to present evidence to support your slander? Or, are you simply creating ad hominem attacks because you don't have the facts to rationally discuss a topic? I think the latter.

> I don't dislike you for the things you believe but I do feel sorry for  
> you, by limiting yourself to associating with only coded amateurs,

I'd glad you know so much about my personal life and who I associate with. How long have you lived in RI?

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan, KD1HZ	-
-- Internet: kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com	- I never tell the truth, because I
-- UUCP: ...!uunet!anomaly!kd1hz	- I don't believe that there is such
-- AT&TNet: 401-273-4669	- a thing...

-----

Date: Tue, 15 Feb 1994 01:24:23 GMT  
From: adobe!swirsky@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: This is USENET, so even Codeless Techs can post!

In article <2y7oHc8w165w@mystis.wariat.org> dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

```
>
>How about "Seventy Threes"?
>
```

[illegible]

```
--
Robert "AF2M" S.
```

Date: Tue, 15 Feb 1994 01:46:27 GMT  
From: world!drt@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2jm7e6\$4dv@paperboy.ids.net>,  
<1994Feb14.155226.20706@mixcom.mixcom.com>, <CL8GDG.C0q@news.Hawaii.Edu>  
Subject : Re: General call signs.

Jeff Herman (jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu) wrote:

```
: Come to Hawaii, Kevin. Generals get 2 by 2 calls here because of the
: mandatory 'H6' in our prefix. Or do what I'm considering : I want
: a KH#xx call (I hate these new 'N' callsigns), so visit one of the
: South Pacific U.S. Territories to get a 'nice' callsign: KH3JH
: would be great. (or KH4JH or KH5JH or .... Hmmm, which island
: should I visit?)
```

: Jeff NH6IL

One small point - all you need to do this is a mailing address in the correct area. You don't need a plane ticket. Have someone forward the mail to you. Pay them if you must. Rent a mail drop. Nice Guam callsigns are available. (Maybe Jeff would help you out, Kevin?? :->)

Then get transferred back, all of the sudden, to your old address.

Very common.

-drt

|David R. Tucker KG2S |drt@world.std.com|

-----  
-----  
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 1994 06:23:24 GMT  
From: world!dts@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CL2tF2.Lxz@ucdavis.edu>, <2jm7e6\$4dv@paperboy.ids.net>,  
<1994Feb14.155226.20706@mixcom.mixcom.com>orld  
Subject : Re: General call signs.

In article <1994Feb14.155226.20706@mixcom.mixcom.com> kevin jessup  
<kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com> writes:  
>In <2jm7e6\$4dv@paperboy.ids.net> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)  
writes:  
>  
>>ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd) writes:  
>  
>>> So what if some idiot who doesn't know how the FCC issues calls thinks  
>>> you are a no-code? What is wrong with being a no-code anyway? and  
>>> please don't give me the crap about they are too lazy.  
>  
>>People are all too familiar with the way the FCC issues callsigns.  
>>And, when you hear a new voice with a N1### call on the air around here,  
>>odds are 99:1 that its a no-code callsign.  
>  
>I thought if you go for the general right off the bat, you are given  
>a 1 by 3 call sign just like all the techs (and tech plus'). Is  
>that not true?  
>  
>Yes, around here too, most calls that are N9??? are technician operators.  
>I expect to make 13 WPM (and my general) next month. As I understand it,  
>I cannot change my call sign (N9SQB).

You can change your callsign when you get your general, but it will be  
another 1x3 call, and people will think you just got your tech :-).

>  
>I may even take the advanced written when I take the 13 WPM test, which  
>means I CAN get a 2 by 2 advanced-class call sign. Should I? If  
>someone is so closed-minded that they do not want to talk to me because  
>they believe I am a "codeless" technician, I probably don't want to talk  
>to them anyway. The 1 by 3 is a nice "bigot" filter! ;-)

I kept my 1x3 partially for this reason. I figure if people really want  
to know so badly what my class is, they can ask. Besides, it is much  
easier to pick out 1x3 and 1x2 calls on HF, I find, than 2x2 and 2x3 and

2x1 calls. For example, KA1 calls are often picked up by stations as K1 because of the sounds.

>  
>And Micahael, I am NOT implying that YOU are that way, just providing my  
>viewpoints on "call sign snobbery".  
>  
>--  
> /'-\_                    kevin.jessup@mixcom.com

--

-----  
Daniel Senie                    Internet:        dts@world.std.com  
Daniel Senie Consulting        n1jeb@world.std.com  
508-365-5352                    Compuserve:    74176,1347  
-----

Date: Tue, 15 Feb 1994 01:41:55 GMT  
From: world!drt@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CL2tF2.Lxz@ucdavis.edu>, <2jm7e6\$4dv@paperboy.ids.net>,  
<1994Feb14.155226.20706@mixcom.mixcom.com>0  
Subject : Re: General call signs.

kevin jessup (kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com) wrote:

: Yes, around here too, most calls that are N9??? are technican operators.  
: I expect to make 13 WPM (and my general) next month. As I understand it,  
: I cannot change my call sign (N9SQB).

But Kevin, you *can* change your callsign, at any time! You'll get  
the next Group C (1x3) callsign available (Group D, 2x3, if none are).  
You don't have to pass the General just for this. Isn't that just  
yummy?

They should have given Technicians 2x3s if they were going to be  
the main entry license. In half the districts now, Generals are  
stuck with 2x3s. Things could be worse.

: I may even take the advanced written when I take the 13 WPM test, which  
: means I CAN get a 2 by 2 advanced-class call sign. Should I? If  
: someone is so closed-minded that they do not want to talk to me because  
: they believe I am a "codeless" technician, I probably don't want to talk  
: to them anyway. The 1 by 3 is a nice "bigot" filter! ;-)

Keep any callsign long enough and it acquires prestige. When I got

mine, people said, "well, 'A' 2x1s were okay, I guess, but yours sounds so \*weird\*." ("A" 2x1s had been "weird" before.) DX were clueless. Now 2x1 DX stations aren't uncommon. Or, you could find out which K- or W- 1x3 is out there that you want, and get it as soon as they let you. Or whatever.

-drt

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #61

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*